

Name of Programme or Service:	
Rapporteurs:	
Brief Description of Programme/Service:	
Strategic Importance/Uniqueness: Does continued support for the programme/service deliver against STFC priorities?	
Major strategic current programme or service and a critical element of future STFC strategy (3) Presents a high priority for future STFC strategy (2) Current strategic priority (1) Not a strategic priority (0)	Score:
Impact: What are the key scientific questions that the programme/service can address and what would be the impact of answering these questions?	
Could it radically alter/fundamentally change our understanding of the field; will it produce results of critical importance in a top priority area? (3) Provide added value or underpin/test present theories; will it produce results of fundamental importance? (2) Will not fundamentally change the field but will add to the knowledge base and produce interesting results? (1) Limited or no impact and will not produce interesting results (0)	Score:
Competition: What competing facilities/programmes/services exist and are these accessible to UK researchers? Has the facility lost its competitive edge or been overtaken nationally or internationally?	
No other facility/programme service can provide competing data (3) Competing facilities exist but not accessible to UK researchers (2) Similar science can be done elsewhere (1) Has the science been overtaken elsewhere by other facilities? (0)	Score:
UK Involvement: Does the facility provide UK scientists with a unique capability or does it enable UK scientists to compete globally?	
Gives UK unique access to class of facility; UK lead and UK built (3) Provides the UK with influential and competitive access in the field (2) UK is a minor player but significant UK scientific returns (1) UK minor player with low scientific return (0)	Score:

Scientific User Base/Need: What is the size and strength of the scientific community supported by the programme/service?

--	--

Heavily subscribed, primary facility for a significant proportion of the user community (3) Used by a significant proportion of the user community (2) Meets the needs of the user-community and of key importance to a particular area of research (1) Small user base with limited potential for the user-community (0)	Score:

Science Output: What were the key results from the facility, programme/service and impact on our understanding?

--	--

Many headlining results (fundamental impact on our understanding) (3) Steady flow, important & headlining results (2) Some interesting results (1) No scientific output (0)	Score:

Public Engagement/Outreach: Are the results of interest to stakeholders, the scientific community and to the public at large?

--	--

Regular high profile output to press, other media types and schools (3) Occasional high profile public dissemination (2) Occasional lower profile dissemination (1) Little or no public interest (0)	Score:

Training: Does the facility, programme/service provide a high quality training environment and address areas of next generation science?

--	--

Fast flow of PhDs in important areas for the future (3) Steady PhD flow or a narrow science/technology range (2) Occasional PhD's (1) Minimal training output (0)	Score:

Industrial Benefit: Was there industrial benefit and for the UK and spin out technologies at the cutting edge?

--	--

Is there, or will there be, heavy UK Industrial benefit from both construction contracts & spin out technologies (3) Is there, or will there be, some UK industrial benefit from construction contracts or spin out technologies (2) Is there, or will there be, some UK industrial interest (1) Is there, or will there be, no UK industrial interest (0)	Score: